

July 31, 2017

The Honorable Ryan Zinke Secretary U.S. Department of the Interior 1849 C Street N.W. Washington, D.C. 20240

The Honorable Sonny Perdue Secretary U.S. Department of Agriculture 1400 Independence Ave S.W. Washington, D.C. 20250

Dear Secretary Zinke and Secretary Perdue:

On June 30th of this year you received a bipartisan letter from 26 members of Congress related to mineral development issues in northern Minnesota. As elected leaders of the Minnesota Legislature, we are writing to add our support for that letter.

As we stated in our own correspondence earlier this year (attached), we are outraged at the decision made by the previous administration to withdraw nearly 240,000 acres of federal lands from future mineral exploration and development as well as the denial of federal mineral leases held by Twin Metals Minnesota. These decisions will yield immense negative impacts our region, harming a wide array of technology, infrastructure and manufacturing industries, especially the rapidly growing green and renewable energy sectors.

The expansion of the precious metals mining industry offers generations of Minnesotans thousands of good-paying jobs, billions of dollars in investment, and billions more in revenues to Minnesota schools. It is also an incredible opportunity to further establish our nation's economic and energy independence from the foreign mineral sources we now depend on. Removing vast amounts of federal land from potential development and blocking the renewal of Twin Metals' federal mineral leases was a mistake, and we ask that these decisions be reversed immediately.

Sincerely,

Kurt Daudt Speaker of the House Minnesota House of Representatives Minnesota State Senate

Paul Gazelka Senate Majority Leader

Paul Tohn

Tom Bakk Senate Minority Leader Minnesota State Senate



January 11, 2017

Secretary Sally Jewell U.S. Department of the Interior 1849 C Street N.W. Washington DC 20240

Secretary Tom Vilsack U.S. Department of Agriculture 1400 Independence Ave. S.W. Washington, DC 20250

Dear Secretary Vilsack and Secretary Jewell:

As elected leaders of the Minnesota Legislature, we are writing to express our outrage at the recent politically-driven decisions issued by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and the U.S. Forest Service (USFS) related to mineral development in Northeast Minnesota. Initiating actions to withdraw nearly 240,000 acres of federal lands and minerals from future exploration and potential development and denying the renewal of 50-year federal mineral leases held by Twin Metals Minnesota are onerous decisions that will cause devastating and irreversible damage to the citizens, communities and economy of the region. We seek your immediate reconsideration and reversal of these actions, and urge you to refocus your agencies on the proper tasks of accepting and assessing mining project proposals under the regulatory procedures established by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and other related federal statutes.

Prohibiting future mineral exploration and development over 240,000 additional acres of federal lands will have sweeping negative impacts for the not just the precious metals industry, but the entire region of Northeast Minnesota as well. Beyond any one particular project this action will harm a wide array of technology, infrastructure and manufacturing industries, especially the rapidly growing green and renewable energy sectors. Independent economic analysis from the University of Minnesota-Duluth estimates more than 12,000 construction jobs and 5,000 long-term mining jobs would be created if the projects currently under various stages of development in this region came to fruition. Even beyond those direct employment opportunities, research projects nearly a 3:1 ratio of supplemental jobs created. This would represent a significant beacon of hope for one of the most economically distressed regions in our nation. Further, the estimated four billion ton deposit of copper, nickel and other strategic minerals located within the Duluth Complex stand to potentially generate nearly \$3 billion in royalty revenues for the state's Permanent School Trust Fund – resources that would support the education of nearly 900,000 K-12 students statewide. These are the enormous economic opportunities and educational responsibilities that will be lost under your agencies' misguided and politically motivated anti-mining decisions.

We would also note that previous similar withdrawal proposals have been strongly opposed by U.S. Senator Amy Klobuchar, U.S. Senator Al Franken, Minnesota 8th District Congressman Rick Nolan, the entire Minnesota Iron Range state legislative delegation, and the vast majority of county and local elected officials throughout the region. Recent public opinion surveys also show that two-thirds of citizens in the region oppose the withdrawal of federal minerals from future development, and more than 80 percent support environmentally-responsible mining in the region. Along with being onerous, unnecessary and contrary to previous Congressional directive, the withdrawal proposal is extraordinarily tone deaf to the opinions and economic needs of the citizens of Northeast Minnesota where mining has been the anchor of the region's economy since its inception in 1881.

In regards to the Twin Metals project specifically, rhetoric should not derail due process. The leases held by Twin Metals were issued in 1966 and have been held in good standing by the federal government for more than 50 years. The leases were renewed by BLM and USFS without controversy in 1989 and again in 2004, and Twin Metals has invested more than \$400 million in project development activities based on the federal government living up to its contractual obligations. The justification given by BLM and USFS for their unprecedented reversal of support for these leases is irrational and based on unfounded fears of hypothetical and generalized environmental impacts of mining in the region.

The potential – but unproven – environmental concerns raised by BLM and USFS related to future mining in the region are appropriately studied under NEPA's thorough, multi-agency, science-based Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) process AFTER a specific mine project has been proposed – a step Twin Metals has yet to take. Fearful guessing about potential future environmental impacts of yet-to-be proposed mining projects is no substitute for the long-established federal and state EIS process. We urge you to reverse the decision to deny renewal of Twin Metals' leases, direct the agencies to renew the leases as they did in 1989 and 2004, and work with Twin Metals under the lease terms to assist in developing a formal mining proposal for proper environmental review.

The expansion of the precious metals mining industry offers generations of Minnesotans thousands of good-paying jobs, billions of dollars in investment, and billions more in revenues to Minnesota schools. It is also an incredible opportunity to further establish our nation's economic and energy independence from the foreign mineral sources we now depend on. Removing vast amounts of federal land from potential development and blocking the renewal of Twin Metals' federal mineral leases will have devastating impacts to the economic future of Northeast Minnesota, and would deny the United States economy responsible access to valuable national resources. These decisions should both be reversed immediately.

Sincerely,

Rep. Kurt Daudt Speaker of the House

Sen. Tom Bakk Minority Leader Sen. Paul Gazelka Majority Leader

Rep. Joyce Peppin Majority Leader Dan Fabian

Rep. Dan Fabian Environment Committee Chair

Sen. Bill Ingebrigtesen Environment Committee Chair

Rep. Mike Sundin House District 11A

Rep. Jason Metsa House District 6B

Rep. Julie Sandstede House District 6A

Rep. Joe McDonald House District 29A

Rep. Brian Dániels House District 24B

Rep. Brian Johnson House District 32A Mis Swedinski

Rep. Chris Swedzinski Mining and Outdoor Recreation Chair

Sen. David Tomassoni Senate District 6

Rep. Rob Ecklund House District 3A

Rep. Sandy Layman House District 5B

Rep. Dale Lueck House District 10B

Sen. Justin Eichorn Senate District 5

Rep. Nick Zerwas House District 30A

Rep. Jason Rarick House Distict 11B



Rep. Rod Hamilton House District 22B

Louden Prichand

Rep. Sondra Erickson House District 15A

Rep. Jim Nash House District 47A

Řep. Steve Drazkowski House District 21B

Rep. Marion O'Neill House District 29B

Rep. Peggy Scott House District 35B

Rep. Kelly Fenton House District 53B Mary D. Franker

Rep. Mary Franson House District 8B

Rep. Eric Lucero House District 30B

Rep. Paul Torkelson House District 16B

Rep. Dennis Smith House District 34B

Rep. Tony Albright House District 55B

Rep. Drew Christensen House District 56A

Rep. Peggy Bennett House District 27A Jahm Hil

Rep. Josh Heintzeman House District 10A

Lathy Lohmen

Rep. Kathy Lohmer House District 39B

Rep. Linda Runbeck House District 38A

Rep. Bob Loonan House District 55A

Klenn H. Dunly

Rep. Glenn Gruenhagen House District 18B

Rep. John Poston House District 9A

Rep. Tony Jurgens House District 54B

Rep. Dave Baker House District 17B Rep. Roz Peterson House District 56B

Rep. Matt Grossell House District 2A

Rep. Keith Franke House District 54A

Rep. Paul Anderson House District 12B

Rep. Dean Urdahl House District 18A

Rep. Tama Theis House District 14A

Rep. Steve Green House District 2B

Rep. Tim Miller House District 17A



Rep. Nels Pierson House District 26B

Sen. David Osmek Senate District 33

Sen. Mary Kiffmeyer Senate District 30

Sen. Jerry Relph Senate District 14

Sen. Bill Weber Senate District 22

Cust Ingr

Rep. Cindy Pugh House District 33B Bol Delliner

Rep. Bob Dettmer House District 39A

Sen. Mark Johnson Senate District 1

Sen. Warren Limmer Senate District 34

Sen. Bruce Anderson Senate District 29

Sen. Paul Utke Senate District 2

Sen. Karin Housley Senate District 39